4	Indicator Type	Reference	Title	Service	Frequency & Measure	Rise or Fall	Baseline	Last Year Result	Target			Predicted Year End Result	Data Quality
1	Leeds Strategic Plan - Government	NI 130	Social Care clients receiving self directed support aged 18+	Access & Inclusion	%	Rise	97.7 per 100,000	165.0 per 100,000	15.0%	4.7%	7.8%	15.0%	No Concerns with data
	Agreed	receipt of sel	Sept 2009 1,435 people had received fidirected support. Benchmarking data alld equate to approximately 5,000 people.	a shows that author									
2	Leeds Strategic Plan	NI 132	Timeliness of social care assessments (all adults)	Access & Inclusion	%	Rise	76.0%	84.0%	88.0%	86.0%	91.2%	90.0%	No Concerns with data
	- Government Agreed		course to meet and exceed its target for I that additional social work resources										
3	Leeds Strategic Plan - Government	NI 141	Percentage of vulnerable people achieving independent living	Strategic Housing and Commissioning	Quarterly %	Rise	59.77%	76.39%	71.00%	83.77%	80.81%	71.00%	No Concerns with data
	Agreed	Quarter 1 figure (amended) represented the highest quarterly score against this indicator achieved by Leeds Supporting People. Contracts Officers have worked with poorly performing services to drive up performance. Quarter 2 performance again shows very strong performance against NI 141, significantly in excess of target.											
4	Leeds Strategic Plan - Partnership Agreed	NI 123A	16+ current smoking rate prevalence (City Wide)	Leeds PCT	Quarterly %	Fall	31.00%	23.0%	23.3%	22.9%	Q2 data not yet available	22.9%	Checklist completed, no concerns highlighted, but additional supporting comments required.
		NI 123B	16+ current smoking rate prevalence (10% SOA)	Leeds PCT	Quarterly %	Fall	N.A.	29.6%	30.2%	29.6%	Q2 data not yet available	29.6%	
			yet available										
5	Leeds Strategic Plan	NI 133	care packages	Social Services for Older People	%	Rise	85.0%	85.3%	92.0%	87.8%	87.8%	87.8%	No Concerns with data
	- Partnership Agreed	assessment plans include	e shows an improvement from 85% du completion date. The best performing e a revising our arrangements for man nanagement systems for front line ma	authorities are achi	eve over 98% a	gainst thi	s indicator.	This remains	our object	ive over tim	ne for this i	ndicator. Our	improvement
6	National Indicator	NI 125	people through rehabilitation/intermediate care	Access & Inclusion	%	Rise	91.9%	91.9%	90.0%	89.0%	84.0%	86.0%	No Concerns with data
		drop in perfo	arges recorded during the second qua rmance this must be balanced agains ong the top performing Councils agains	t the improved perfo									

	erformance dicator Type	Reference	Title	Service	Frequency & Measure	Rise or Fall	Baseline	Last Year Result	Target			Predicted Year End Result	Data Quality
	National Indicator	NI 135	Carers receiving needs assessment or review and a specific carers service		%	Rise	13.9%	22.3%	25.8%	21.0%	20.3%	20.3%	No Concerns with data
			ows an anticipated 3188 carers will be are investigating the possible causes										erformance this
	ational dicator	NI 145	Adults with learning disabilities in settled accommodation	Learning & Disabilities	Quarterly %	Rise	18.2%	17.9%	65.0%	6.0%	9.8%	See Comments	Some Concerns with Data
		have been re reaching the	bew National Indicator introduced last your olded out to relevant staff. Whilst Quart target of 65%. It is difficult to set a release that the activity pattern of the program of the prog	er 2 shows a drop in iable target or estim	n perfromance f ate for the year	from quart	er 1. Recor	ding requiren	nents are t	aking some	time to en	nbed and this	poses a risk to
	National Indicator	NI 146	Adults with learning disabilities in employment	Learning & Disabilities	Quarterly %	Rise	2.40%	2.40%	Not Set	0.60%	0.50%	5.00%	No Concerns with data
		formats have	new National Indicator introduced last ye been rolled out to relevant staff, how eds were challenged by the gathering	ever, the recording	requirements w	ill take so	me time to e						
		formats have	e been rolled out to relevant staff, how	ever, the recording	requirements w	ill take so	me time to ement. 5.24 per			3.84 per			ny councils No Checklist
	ational dicator	formats have including Lee NI 131 The figures inumber of working the same including Lee The figures in the figures in the same including the sa	e been rolled out to relevant staff, how eds were challenged by the gathering	ever, the recording of this information la Leeds PCT discharges per wee vailable benchmark rter 2 however this	requirements weast year as a ne Quarterly Number k during quartering data suggestermains within a	Fall rs 1 and 2 sts that Le	5.24 per 100,000 . Performareds is amorable level of	4.48 per 100,000 Ice is significated in the best is ongoing fluctions.	Not Set Antly improperforming tuation. The	3.84 per 100,000 oved on 200 g councils via data che	3.86 per 100,000 18/09 levels with regard cklist has b	3.86 per 100,000 s, when the arto this indicate peen requeste	No Checklist Received
In In	ational dicator	formats have including Lee NI 131 The figures inumber of working the same including Lee The figures in the figures in the same including the sa	be been rolled out to relevant staff, howeds were challenged by the gathering Delayed transfers of care represent an average of 23.5 delayed reekly delayed discharges was 27.2. A slight rise between quarter 1 and qua	ever, the recording of this information la Leeds PCT discharges per wee vailable benchmark rter 2 however this cor this indicator is co	Quarterly Number k during quartering data sugges remains within a	Fall rs 1 and 2 sts that Le	5.24 per 100,000 . Performareds is amorable level of	4.48 per 100,000 Ice is significated in the best is ongoing fluctions.	Not Set Antly improperforming tuation. The	3.84 per 100,000 oved on 200 g councils via data che	3.86 per 100,000 18/09 levels with regard cklist has b	3.86 per 100,000 s, when the arto this indicate peen requeste	No Checklist Received
In 11 Na	ational dicator ational dicator	formats have including Led including Led Including Led Including Led Including Led Including Inc	be been rolled out to relevant staff, howeds were challenged by the gathering Delayed transfers of care represent an average of 23.5 delayed reekly delayed discharges was 27.2. A slight rise between quarter 1 and quate collect the data. Note that he target for the properties of vulnerable people who are supported to maintain	ever, the recording of this information la Leeds PCT discharges per wee vailable benchmark rter 2 however this for this indicator is constructed by the commissioning of the commissioning of this indicator is constructed by the commissioning of this indicator is constructed by the commissioning of this indicator is constructed by the commissioning of this information in the commissioning of this information is a constructed by the construction of the construction in the construction is a constructed by the construction of the construction in the construction is a constructed by the construction of the construction of the construction is a constructed by the construction of the	Quarterly Number k during quarterling data sugges remains within a urrently under re Quarterly %	Fall rs 1 and 2 sts that Lean accepta	5.24 per 100,000 . Performar eds is amorable level of is to be alignment.	4.48 per 100,000 ngst the best ongoing fluc	Not Set Antly improperforming tuation. Trustiner organ	3.84 per 100,000 yeed on 200 g councils v le data che isations aci	3.86 per 100,000 8/09 levels with regard cklist has b	3.86 per 100,000 s, when the ai to this indicatoeen requestery.	No Checklist Received nnual average or during 2008/0 d from health
11 Na In	ational dicator ational dicator	formats have including Led including Led Including Led Including Led Including Led Including Inc	be been rolled out to relevant staff, howeds were challenged by the gathering Delayed transfers of care represent an average of 23.5 delayed reekly delayed discharges was 27.2. A slight rise between quarter 1 and quate collect the data. Note that he target for the properties of vulnerable people who are supported to maintain independent living	ever, the recording of this information la Leeds PCT discharges per wee vailable benchmark rter 2 however this for this indicator is constructed by the commissioning of the commissioning of this indicator is constructed by the commissioning of this indicator is constructed by the commissioning of this indicator is constructed by the commissioning of this information in the commissioning of this information is a constructed by the construction of the construction in the construction is a constructed by the construction of the construction in the construction is a constructed by the construction of the construction of the construction is a constructed by the construction of the	Quarterly Number k during quarter ing data sugges remains within a urrently under re Quarterly %	Fall rs 1 and 2 sts that Lean accepta	5.24 per 100,000 . Performar eds is amorable level of is to be alignment.	4.48 per 100,000 ngst the best ongoing fluc	Not Set Antly improperforming tuation. Trustiner organ	3.84 per 100,000 yeed on 200 g councils v le data che isations aci	3.86 per 100,000 8/09 levels with regard cklist has b	3.86 per 100,000 s, when the ai to this indicatoeen requestery.	No Checklist Received nnual average or during 2008/0 d from health

	Indicator Type	Reference	Title	Service	Frequency & Measure	Rise or Fall	Baseline	Last Year Result	Target			Predicted Year End Result	Data Quality
	Local Indicator	LKI-SS35	Adult and older clients receiving a review as a percentage of those receiving a service.	Access & Inclusion	%	Rise	62.8%	69.6%	80.0%	65.1%	71.4%	71.4%	Some Concerns with Data
		more reviews	ures show an improvement on quarte s are undertaken. This involves lookir readilly identify which of their cases a are not included in the cohort.	ng at using alternativ	e reviewing tec	hniques fo	r those cas	es where it c	ould speed	d up the rev	iewing pro	cess. Addition	nally, staff will b
	Local Indicator	COM 6	Number of safeguarding referrals.	Commissioning	Quarterly	Rise	1,320	1,320	1,500	482	964	1,900	PI recently created, Checklists to be completed by Quarter 3 report
		of these issu	ne first six months show an increase i les amongst staff and partners as a re urrent national trend.										
	Indicator		The ratio of total safeguarding referrals to total cases completed	Access & Inclusion	Ratio	Fall	0.99	0.99	1	0.82	1.01	1	See above
		activity in this	ures reflect an increase in work to inv s area and reflect the increased deplo				idults. Thes	se figures sho	ow that Ad	ult Social C	are staff ha	ave kept pac	e with increased
	Indicator	COM 6B	The number of safeguarding cases completed	Access and inclusion	Quarterly Number	Rise	1,310	1,310	1,500	393	972	1,900	See above
		Quarter 2 figures reflect an increase in work to investigate concerns and safeguard vulnerable adults. These figures show that Adult Social Care staff have kept pace with increased activity in this area and reflect the increased deployment of resources to support this work.											
	Indicator	COM 9	The percentage of safeguarding investigations which have led to a protection plan meeting or review.	Access and Inclusion	Quarterly %	Rise	43.0%	43.0%	60.0%	44.0%	41.9%	44.0%	PI recently created, Checklists to be completed by Quarter 3 report
			show that the new processes for deali on when reporting safeguarding issue										
	Local Indicator	COM 4	Assessed and reviewed clients who feel safe in their homes during the day	Commissioning	Quarterly %	Rise	95.0%	95.0%	96.0%	NA	92.0%	92.0%	See above
			use adult social care services are sur those who feel safe on this occasion										

	Performance	Reference	Title	Service	Frequency &	Rise or	Baseline	Last Year	Target	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Predicted	Data Quality
	Indicator Type				Measure	Fall		Result				Year End	
												Result	
19	Local	COM 5	Assessed and reviewed clients who	Commissioning	Quarterly	Rise	92.5%	92.5%	96.0%	NA	92.0%	92.0%	See above
	Indicator		feel safe in their homes during the		%								
			night										
	People who use adult social care services are surveyed on a regular basis to gain an overview of their experience of Adult Social Care. Whilst there has been a slight drop in											t drop in the	
		proportion of	those who feel safe on this occasion	overall the figures a	re high. Figures	will requi	re ongoing r	monitoring to	ensure tha	at this is a b	olip rather t	han a trend.	